

REMARKS ON A GENERALIZATION OF A QUESTION RAISED BY PÁL ERDŐS CONCERNING A GEOMETRIC INEQUALITY IN ACUTE TRIANGLES II

Béla FINTA

"Petru Maior" University of Tîrgu Mureş Nicolae Iorga Street, no.1, 540088, Tîrgu Mureş, Romania e-mail: fintab@science.upm.ro

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to give a negative answer to a possible generalization of an open question raised by Pál Erdős, concerning an inequality in acute triangles. We prove here that from a < b < c does not follow $a^{2k+1} + (l_a)^{2k+1} < b^{2k+1} + l_b^{2k+1} < c^{2k+1} + l_c^{2k+1}$ in every acute triangle ABC, nor the opposite chain of inequalities, where $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \ge 2$, and a, b, c denotes the length of the triangles sites, while l_a, l_b, l_c denotes the length of the interior angle bisectors, as usual. We achieve this by constructing effectively two counterexamples, one for each type of inequalities.

Keywords: geometrical inequalities, acute triangle, interior bisectrices

1 Introduction

Let us consider the acute triangle ABC with sides a = BC, b = AC and c = AB. In [1] appeared the following open question due to Pál Erdős: "if ABC is an acute triangle such that a < b < c then $a + l_a < b + l_b < c + l_c$ ", where l_a, l_b, l_c means the length of the interior bisectrices corresponding to the sides BC, AC and AB, respectively.

In [2] Mihály Bencze proposed the following open question, which is a generalization of the problem of Pál Erdős: "determine all points $M \in Int(ABC)$, for which in case of BC < CA < AB we have CB + AA' < CA + BB' < AB + CC', where A',B',C' is the intersection of AM, BM, CM with sides BC,CA,AB". Here with Int(ABC) we denote the interior points of the triangle ABC.

If we try for "usual" acute triangles ABC, we can verify the validity of the Erdős inequality. But in [3] we realized to obtain an acute triangle for which the Erdős inequality is false: let ABC be such that $c = 10 + \epsilon, b = 10$ and a = 1, where $\epsilon > 0$ is a "very small" positive quantity. Using the trigonometrical way combined with some elementary properties from algebra and mathematical analysis we showed that for this "extreme" acute triangle from a < b < c results $c + l_c < b + l_b$.

In [4] József Sándor proved some new geometrical inequalities using in the open question of Pál Erdős instead of bisectrices altitudes and medians.

In [5] Károly Dáné and Csaba Ignát studied the open question of Mihály Bencze using the computer.

In connection with Erdős's problem we formulated the following open question: "if ABC is an acute triangle such that a < b < c then $a^2 + l_a^2 < b^2 + l_b^2 < c^2 + l_c^2$ ". In [6] we proved the validity of this statement.

At the same time we formulated another new open question: "if ABC is an acute triangle such that a < b < c then $a^4 + l_a^4 < b^4 + l_b^4 < c^4 + l_c^4$ ". In [7] we realized to find two acute triangles ABC such that in the first triangle from a < b < c ($b = a + \epsilon, c = a + 2\epsilon$ with $\epsilon > 0$ a small positive quantity) we obtained $a^4 + l_a^4 < b^4 + l_b^4$, but in the second triangle from a < b < c ($b = a + \epsilon, c = a\sqrt{2}$ with $\epsilon > 0$ a small positive quantity) we deduced $a^4 + l_a^4$. So the answer

to our question is negative.

We can formulate the following more general open question using the problem of Pál Erdős: "if ABC is an acute triangle from a < b < c results $a^{\alpha} + l_a^{\alpha} < b^{\alpha} + l_b^{\alpha} < c^{\alpha} + l_c^{\alpha}$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. We mention, that this property is not obvious, because from a < b < c results $l_a > l_b > l_c$. If $\alpha = 0$, then is immediately that our problem is false.

In [8] we proved for $\alpha = 2k \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{N}, k \ge 2$ even natural number that the above inequality is not true in every acute triangle ABC, nor the opposite chain of inequalities.

2 Main part

The purpose of this paper is to study this open question for $\alpha = 2k + 1, k \in \mathbb{N}$ odd natural number.

Proposition 1. There exist acute triangles ABC with a < b < c, such that for these triangles we have $a^{2k+1} + (l_a)^{2k+1} < b^{2k+1} + (l_b)^{2k+1}$ for every odd natural number $2k + 1, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{Proof. We have the following sequence of equivalent} \\ \textit{inequalities: } a^{2k+1} + (l_a)^{2k+1} < b^{2k+1} + (l_b)^{2k+1} \Leftrightarrow \\ (l_a)^{2k+1} - (l_b)^{2k+1} < b^{2k+1} - a^{2k+1} \Leftrightarrow (l_a - l_b) \cdot \\ [(l_a)^{2k} + (l_a)^{2k-1} \cdot l_b + \dots + l_a \cdot (l_b)^{2k-1} + (l_b)^{2k}] < \\ (b-a) \cdot (b^{2k} + b^{2k-1} \cdot a + \dots + b \cdot a^{2k-1} + a^{2k}) \Leftrightarrow \\ \frac{(l_a)^2 - (l_b)^2}{l_a + l_b} \cdot [(l_a)^{2k} + (l_a)^{2k-1} \cdot l_b + \dots + l_a \cdot (l_b)^{2k-1} + \\ (l_b)^{2k}] < (b-a) \cdot (b^{2k} + b^{2k-1} \cdot a + \dots + b \cdot a^{2k-1} + a^{2k}). \\ \textit{But } l_a^2 = \frac{bc \cdot [(b+c)^2 - a^2]}{(b+c)^2} \text{ and } l_b^2 = \frac{ac \cdot [(a+c)^2 - b^2]}{(a+c)^2} \text{ so} \\ l_a^2 - l_b^2 = bc - \frac{a^2 bc}{(b+c)^2} - ac + \frac{ab^2 c}{(a+c)^2} = c \cdot (b - a) \\ a) + abc \cdot [\frac{b}{(a+c)^2} - \frac{a}{(b+c)^2}] = c \cdot (b - a) + abc \cdot \\ \frac{(b-a) \cdot [(b^2 + ba + a^2) + 2c(b+a) + c^2]}{(a+c)^2 \cdot (b+c)^2} = (b - a) \cdot [c + abc \cdot \frac{b^2 + ba + a^2 + 2c(b+a) + c^2}{(a+c)^2 \cdot (b+c)^2}]. \\ \end{aligned}$

This means, that $a^{2k+1} + (l_a)^{2k+1} < b^{2k+1} + (l_b)^{2k+1} < b^{2k+1} + (l_b)^{2k+1} \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{l_a+l_b} \cdot [c + abc \cdot \frac{b^2+ba+a^2+2c(b+a)+c^2}{(a+c)^2 \cdot (b+c)^2}] \cdot [(l_a)^{2k} + (l_a)^{2k-1} \cdot l_b + \dots + l_a \cdot (l_b)^{2k-1} + (l_b)^{2k}] < b^{2k} + b^{2k-1} \cdot a + \dots + b \cdot a^{2k-1} + a^{2k}.$

At the first we choose the acute triangle ABC, such that $b = a + \epsilon$ and $c = a + 2\epsilon$, where $\epsilon > 0$ is a small positive quantity. Then $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} (l_a)^2 = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{bc \cdot [(b+c)^2 - a^2]}{(b+c)^2} =$

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{(a+\epsilon)(a+2\epsilon) \cdot [(a+\epsilon+a+2\epsilon)^2 - a^2]}{(a+\epsilon+a+2\epsilon)^2} \qquad = \qquad \frac{3a^2}{4},$$

 $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} l_a = \frac{\sqrt{3a}}{2},$

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} (l_b)^2 = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{a \cdot [(a+c)^2 - b^2]}{(a+c)^2} =$$

 $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{a \cdot (a+2\epsilon) \cdot [(a+a+2\epsilon) - (a+a+2\epsilon)]}{(a+a+2\epsilon)^2}$

$$\begin{split} &\frac{3a^2}{4}, \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} l_b = \frac{\sqrt{3}a}{2}, \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} b = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} (a+\epsilon) = a, \\ &\text{and} \quad \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} [c \ + \ abc \ \cdot \ \frac{b^2 + ba + a^2 + 2c(b+a) + c^2}{(a+c)^2 \cdot (b+c)^2}] = \\ &\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} [(a \ + \ 2\epsilon) \ + \ a \ \cdot \ (a \ + \ \epsilon) \ \cdot \ (a \ + \ 2\epsilon)^2}{(a+\epsilon)^2 + (a+\epsilon) + (a+2\epsilon)^2}] = \frac{3a}{2}. \end{split}$$

This means, that $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{l_a + l_b} \cdot [c + abc \cdot \frac{b^2 + ba + a^2 + 2c(b+a) + c^2}{(a+c)^2 \cdot (b+c)^2}] \cdot [(l_a)^{2k} + (l_a)^{2k-1} \cdot l_b + \dots + l_a \cdot (l_b)^{2k-1} + (l_b)^{2k}] \leq \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} (b^{2k} + b^{2k-1} \cdot a + \dots + b \cdot a^{2k-1} + a^{2k})$, i.e. $\frac{1}{\frac{\sqrt{3a}}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3a}}{2}} \cdot \frac{3a}{2} \cdot (\frac{\sqrt{3a}}{2})^{2k} \cdot (2k+1) \leq a^{2k} \cdot (2k+1)$, i.e. $(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})^{2k+1} \leq 1$.

We can see immediately that the inequality $(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})^{2k+1} < 1$ is true for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Using the definition of the limit we can conclude, that there exists a small positive real number $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for the triangle $A_0B_0C_0$ with $B_0C_0 = a, A_0C_0 = b = a + \epsilon_0$ and $A_0B_0 = c = a + 2\epsilon_0$ we obtain $a^{2k+1} + (l_a)^{2k+1} < b^{2k+1} + (l_b)^{2k+1}$ for every odd natural number $2k + 1, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 2. There exist acute triangles ABC with a < b < c, such that for these triangles we have $a^{2k+1} + (l_a)^{2k+1} > b^{2k+1} + (l_b)^{2k+1}$ for every odd natural number $2k + 1, k \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$.

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{Proof. Using the above presented sequence of ideas} \\ \text{we get similarly, that } a^{2k+1} + (l_a)^{2k+1} > b^{2k+1} + \\ (l_b)^{2k+1} \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{l_a+l_b} \cdot [c + abc \cdot \frac{b^2 + ba + a^2 + 2c(b+a) + c^2}{(a+c)^2 \cdot (b+c)^2}] \cdot \\ [(l_a)^{2k} + (l_a)^{2k-1} \cdot l_b + \dots + l_a \cdot (l_b)^{2k-1} + (l_b)^{2k}] > \\ b^{2k} + b^{2k-1} \cdot a + \dots + b \cdot a^{2k-1} + a^{2k}. \end{array}$

At the second we choose the acute triangle ABC, such that $b = a + \epsilon$ and $c = a\sqrt{2}$, where $\epsilon > 0$ is a small positive quantity. Then $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} (l_a)^2 = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{bc \cdot [(b+c)^2 - a^2]}{(b+c)^2} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{(a+\epsilon) \cdot a\sqrt{2} \cdot [(a+\epsilon+a\sqrt{2})^2 - a^2]}{(a+\epsilon+a\sqrt{2})^2} = (4 - 2\sqrt{2}) \cdot a^2$, $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} l_a = \sqrt{4 - 2\sqrt{2}} \cdot a$,

 $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} (l_b)^2 = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{ac \cdot [(a+c)^2 - b^2]}{(a+c)^2} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{a \cdot a \sqrt{2} \cdot [(a+a\sqrt{2})^2 - (a+\epsilon)^2]}{(a+a\sqrt{2})^2} = (4 - 2\sqrt{2}) \cdot a^2,$ $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} l_b = \sqrt{4 - 2\sqrt{2}} \cdot a, \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} b = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} (a + \epsilon) = a, \text{ and } \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} [c + abc + bc] = \frac{b^2 + ba + a^2 + 2c(b+a) + c^2}{(a+c)^2 \cdot (b+c)^2} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} [(a\sqrt{2}) + a \cdot (a+\epsilon) \cdot b] = b^2 + ba + b^2 + ba + b^2 + b^2 = b^2 + ba + b^2 + b^2 + b^2 = b^2 + b^2$

^{©2018} Published by "Petru Maior" University Press. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

 $(a\sqrt{2}) \cdot \frac{(a+\epsilon)^2 + (a+\epsilon) \cdot a + a^2 + 2 \cdot (a\sqrt{2}) \cdot (a+\epsilon+a) + (a\sqrt{2})^2}{(a+a\sqrt{2})^2 \cdot (a+\epsilon+a\sqrt{2})^2}] = (16 - 10\sqrt{2}) \cdot a.$

 $\begin{array}{l} (10-10\sqrt{2})\cdot a.\\ \text{This means, that } \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{l_a + l_b} \cdot [c + abc \cdot b^2 + ba + a^2 + 2c(b+a) + c^2}] \cdot [(l_a)^{2k} + (l_a)^{2k-1} \cdot l_b + \dots + l_a \cdot (l_b)^{2k-1} + (l_b)^{2k}] \geq \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} (b^{2k} + b^{2k-1} \cdot a + \dots + b \cdot a^{2k-1} + a^{2k}), \text{ i.e. } \frac{1}{\sqrt{4-2\sqrt{2}} \cdot a + \sqrt{4-2\sqrt{2}} \cdot a} \cdot (16 - 10\sqrt{2}) \cdot a \cdot (\sqrt{4-2\sqrt{2}} \cdot a)^{2k} \cdot (2k+1) \geq a^{2k} \cdot (2k+1), \text{ i.e. } (8 - 5\sqrt{2}) \cdot (\sqrt{4-2\sqrt{2}})^{2k-1} \geq 1. \text{ But for } k \geq 1 \text{ we have } (8 - 5\sqrt{2}) \cdot (\sqrt{4-2\sqrt{2}})^{2k-1} \geq (8 - 5\sqrt{2}) \cdot \sqrt{4-2\sqrt{2}} > 1. \end{array}$

So the inequality $(8-5\sqrt{2})\cdot(\sqrt{4-2\sqrt{2}})^{2k-1} > 1$ is true for all $k \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$. Using the definition of the limit we can conclude, that there exists a small positive real number $\epsilon_1 > 0$ such that for the triangle $A_1B_1C_1$ with $B_1C_1 = a, A_1C_1 = b = a + \epsilon_1$ and $A_1B_1 = c = a\sqrt{2}$ we obtain $a^{2k+1} + (l_a)^{2k+1} > b^{2k+1} + (l_b)^{2k+1}$ for every odd natural number $2k + 1, k \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$. \Box

3 Discussion and conclusion

Conclusion Proposition 1 and 2 show that using the usual notations for the length of sides and the length of the interior angle bisectors of an acute triangle, if we suppose a < b < c then does not result $a^{2k+1} + (l_a)^{2k+1} < b^{2k+1} + l_b^{2k+1} < c^{2k+1} + l_c^{2k+1}$, nor the opposite chain of the inequalities for any odd values greater than 1 of the exponents.

References

- Open Question OQ.14, Mathematical Magazine Octogon, Vol. 3, No. 1, 1995, pp. 54, Braşov, Romania
- [2] Open Question OQ.27, Mathematical Magazine Octogon, Vol. 3, No. 2, 1995, pp. 64, Braşov, Romania

- [3] Béla Finta, Solution for an Elementary Open Question of Pál Erdős, Mathematical Magazine Octogon, Vol. 4, No. 1, 1996, pp. 74-79, Braşov, Romania
- [4] József Sándor, On Some New Geometric Inequalities, Mathematical Magazine Octogon, Vol. 5, No. 2, 1997, pp. 66-69, Braşov, Romania
- [5] Károly Dáné, Csaba Ignát, On the Open Question of P. Erdős and M. Bencze, Mathematical Magazine Octogon, Vol. 6, No. 1, 1998, pp. 73-77, Braşov, Romania
- [6] Béla Finta, A New Solved Question in Connection to a Problem of Pál Erdős, Proceedings of the 3rd Conference on the History of Mathematics and Teaching of Mathematics, University of Miskolc, May 21-23, 2004, pp. 56-60, Miskolc, Hungary
- [7] Béla Finta, A New Solved Question in Connection to a Problem of Pál Erdős II, Didactica Matematicii, "Babeş-Bolyai" University, Vol. 24, 2006, pp. 65-70, Cluj-Napoca, Romania
- [8] Béla Finta, Some Geometrical Inequalities in Acute Triangle, Lucrările celei de a III-a Conferințe anuale a Societății de Științe Matematice din România, Vol. 3, Comunicări metodicoştiințifice, Universitatea din Craiova, 26-29 mai 1999, pp.193-200, Craiova, România
- [9] Béla Finta, Remarks on a Generalisation of a Question Raised by Pál Erdős Concerning a Geometric Inequality in Acute Triangles, Scientific Bulletin of the "Petru Maior" University of Tirgu Mures, Vol. 14(XXXI), no. 2, pp. 33-35, ISSN-L 1841-9267 (Print), ISSN 2285-438X (Online), ISSN 2286-3184 (CD-ROM), 2017.